Structural Diagnostic Report

ai.13 — Agentic System Stability

Scenario S2: Tool-Augmented Agentic Execution Chains

Document Type:	Structural Diagnostic Scenario Report
Application ID:	ai.13
Scenario ID:	ai.13.S2
Schema Version:	0.5.1

Source:	SORT AI Structural Diagnostics Demo
Application:	ai.13 Agentic System Stability
Scenario:	S2
Version:	1.0.1
Generated:	2026-01-18
Web:	https://independent-research-systems-modeling.com

Scope and Limits: *This report presents a structural diagnostic scenario analysis based on pre-computed, normalized projection runs. It is not a complete Architecture Risk Assessment and does not contain implementation guidance.*

1. Scenario Overview

System Class

Agents with external tool access executing multi-step tasks through deep chains with cascading state dependencies and tool-mediated modifications.

Scale Abstraction

Cascade amplification regime where small errors or context corruptions in early chain steps produce disproportionate downstream effects through tool interactions.

Operational Context

Sequential execution with branching, tool-mediated state modification, last-write-wins conflict resolution, and implicit coordination via execution order. Chain depth grows with task complexity without explicit containment boundaries.

2. Observed Structural Pattern

The following structural effects emerge from the interaction of correctly functioning execution steps operating in deep chains:

- Context corruption introduced at any step propagates and amplifies through all downstream tool interactions, compounding rather than attenuating.
- Tool state modifications create hidden dependencies that make execution paths brittle under variation, as small changes produce disproportionate effects.
- Last-write-wins semantics in tool access create race conditions invisible to sequential execution logic, producing non-deterministic outcomes.
- Error propagation patterns make root cause analysis effectively impossible at chain depths common in production, as the origin becomes obscured by amplification.
- The problem emerges from chain depth and coupling, not from errors at any individual step — each step operates correctly while the chain becomes structurally brittle.

3. Stability Assessment

Baseline Structural Condition

System operates in brittle regime. Execution chains complete successfully most of the time, but failures when they occur are catastrophic and unpredictable. Stability reserve is exhausted by chain depth.

Observed Instability Class

Brittle — characterized by cascade amplification through deep tool-mediated execution chains without structural containment boundaries.

Post-Projection Stability Class

Bounded — failures become contained and recoverable through structural chain boundaries. Stability reserve preserved through explicit containment.

Transition Type

Cascade containment from unbounded propagation to structurally contained execution domains.

4. Aggregated Indicators

All values are normalized ratios. No absolute values or reconstructable parameters are provided.

Indicator	Baseline	Comparison	Direction
Successful Completion Rate	0.87	0.91	Improvement
Cascade Failure Severity	0.72	0.23	Improvement
Error Attribution Accuracy	0.19	0.76	Improvement
Outcome Predictability	0.34	0.81	Improvement
Recovery Success Rate	0.28	0.74	Improvement
Chain Depth Tolerance	0.41	0.78	Improvement

5. Interpretation

Systemic Relevance

The observed brittleness pattern is systemically relevant because it represents a structural property of deep execution chains rather than a collection of step-level errors. Cascade amplification emerges from correct steps operating in unbounded chains, not from faulty execution logic. This distinction fundamentally changes the appropriate response strategy.

Detection Challenge

This instability class remains undetected in practice because step-level success metrics show nominal behavior throughout the cascade buildup. The problem exists in the chain structure and coupling depth, not in individual steps. Monitoring systems designed to detect step-level failures cannot observe the context corruption that will amplify downstream until catastrophic failure manifests.

Success rates remain high, individual steps complete correctly, and execution appears nominal — yet failures when they occur are catastrophic, unpredictable, and impossible to diagnose.

6. Decision Relevance

If agentic execution chains show high success rates but catastrophic and unpredictable failures, the underlying cause is likely structural cascade vulnerability rather than step-level error rates.

Deeper chains or more tool integrations increase the cascade surface area and may exponentially increase failure severity rather than improving capability. Step-level error reduction addresses symptoms while potentially enabling deeper chains that worsen the structural condition.

Structural visibility into chain coupling dynamics enables targeted intervention at containment boundaries rather than requiring increasingly robust individual steps with diminishing returns on failure prevention.

Related Document: SORT AI Agentic System Stability Application Context Brief

---

Such structural findings are typically contextualized through a scoped architecture risk assessment.